

REQUEST FOR NEW OFFICE ACTION
US APPLN. NO. 10/807,023

demonstrate the non-obviousness of the present invention. This comparative data was apparently either not considered by the Examiner or, if the Examiner did consider it, it was not explained in the Office Action why the data was not sufficient to overcome the obviousness rejection. Applicants therefore believe that the Office Action was incomplete for failing to discuss the comparative experimentation present in the application as filed, and believe therefore that a new, non-final office action (having a restarted response period) should be issued for the present application, which office action specifically addresses the comparative experimentation present in the application as filed.

In view of the above remarks, Applicants respectfully request a new and complete non-final office action. If any points remain at issue which can best be resolved by way of a telephonic or personal interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Philip I. Datlow/

Philip I. Datlow
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 41,482

Patent Department
Boehringer Ingelheim Corp.
900 Ridgebury Road
P.O. Box 368
Ridgefield, CT 06877
Tel: (203) 798-4542
Date: March 16, 2006